

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/823,680	SEKI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Louis Falasco	1773	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowable, pending

 (1) Louis Falasco.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Corwin P. Umbach.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 October 2006
**Time:** 1:37
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Double Patenting*

Claims discussed:

-

Prior art documents discussed:


-

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*This application is now in condition for allowance*
*Examiner Discretion Approved*
**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)